

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/965,610	09/26/2001	Adam S. Cantor	56032US022	8132	
		EXAMINER			
PO BOX 33427			GHALI, ISIS A D		
09/965,610 09/26/2001 32692 7590 05/29/2007 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPAI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1615		
•					
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/29/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/965,610	CANTOR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Isis A. Ghali	1615	

	Isis A. Ghali	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ce of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid abar fidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	n.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	6.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sign forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		TE below);	
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	••	educing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DTO: 001
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [will not be entered, or b) wi	ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowan	ce because:
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:			
	ISIS GHALI PRIMARY EXAMINER	Isis A Ghali Primary Examiner	inoshel.
		Art Unit: 1615	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In response to applicants' argument, it is argued that a conclusion of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) does not require absolute predictability, only a reasonable expectation of success; and references are evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosure. In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969). The disclosed examples and preferred embodiment do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or nonpreferred embodiments. In re Susi, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971). It is noted that WO '229 suggested fentanyl to be delivered with the disclosed transdermal device, and this renders delivering fentanyl in the transdermal device disclosed by WO '229 obvious under U.S.C. 103 (a). Additionally US '849 not only disclosed fentanyl in transdermal composition comprising acrylate copolymer, but US '849 claimed fentanyl, and moreover equalized fentanyl with nicotine. Therefore, fentanyl is one of the preferred drugs to be delivered by the transdermal device disclosed by US '849. WO '229 teaches the amount of any drug used in the disclosed transdermal system is from 0.01-30%, and the reference did not specify such amount to specific drugs, therefore, it reads on fentanyl. The amount fentanyl is clearly a result effective parameter that a person of ordinary skill in the art would routinely optimize. Optimization of parameters is a routine practice that would be obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ. It would have been customary for an artisan of ordinary skill to determine the optimal amount of fentanyl in order to best achieve the desired results. Applicant argues that it was shown just this in the art submitted with previous responses that at the time the invention was made it was unexpected that a composition such as the one claimed could comprise fentanyl in a range as high as from about 8 to about 30%, wherein the composition is also free of undissolved fentanyl. In response to this argument, the art submitted by applicant did not show fentanyl in the adhesive copolymer as instantly claimed. .

dis Ghol.

ISIS CHALL PRIMARY SET